



FACULTY OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES

Course :LLB , 5th Semester

Subject : Administrative Law

Subject code : LLB 501

Faculty Name : Ms Taruna Reni Singh

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

UNIT I

- Definition, Nature and Scope of Administrative Law, Conceptual Objections to the growth of administrative Law
- Rule of Law, Separation of Powers
- Administrative discretion: Meaning, Need, and Judicial Control

UNIT II:

- Legislative Power of Administration: Necessity, Merits and Demerits,
- Constitutionality of Delegated Legislation; Legislative and Judicial Control of delegated
- Legislation

UNIT III:

- Principles of Natural Justice and their Exceptions Rule against Bias, Concept of Fair hearing
- Judicial review of administrative action through writs;
- Judicial control through suits for damages, injunction and declaration
- Administrative Tribunals: Need and reasons for their growth, characteristics, jurisdiction and procedure of administrative Tribunals.

UNIT IV:

- Liability of the administration: Contractual liability, tortious liability. Public Undertakings, their necessity and Liabilities, governmental Control, Parliament Control, Judicial Control
- Ombudsman: Lokpal and Lokayukta
- Right to information ACT, 2005 (S.1-S.20)
- Government Privilege to withhold evidence in public interest

Books

1. Wade, Administrative Law (VII Ed.) Indian Print, Universal
2. M.P.Jain, Principles of Administrative Law, Universal Delhi
3. I. P. Massey: Administrative law

LECTURE 26



TERMS OF OFFICE

The Chairman, Vice-Chairman or other member shall hold office for a term of five years from the date on which he enters upon his office or until he attains the age of

- a) Sixty five, in the case of Chairman or vice-Chairman,
- b) Sixty-two, in the case of any other member, whichever is earlier.

RESIGNATION OR REMOVAL The Chairman, Vice-Chairman or any other member of the Administrative Tribunal may, by notice in writing under his hand addressed to the President, resign, his office; but will continue to hold office until the expiry of three months from the date of receipt of notice or expiry of his terms of office or the date of joining by his successor, whichever is the earliest. They cannot be removed from office except by an order made by the President on the ground of proven misbehavior or incapacity after an inquiry has been made by a judge of the Supreme Court; after giving them a reasonable opportunity of being heard in respect of those charges (vide Sec. 9(2). Act No. 13 of 1985).

ELIGIBILITY FOR FURTHER EMPLOYMENT The Chairman of the Central Administrative Tribunal shall be ineligible for further employment under either Central or State government, but Vice-Chairman of the Central Tribunal will be eligible to be the Chairman of that or any other State Tribunal or Vice-Chairman of any State or Joint Tribunal(s). The Chairman of a State or Joint Tribunal(s) will, however, be eligible for appointment as Chairman of any other State or Joint Tribunals. The Vice-Chairman of the State or Joint Tribunal can be the Chairman of the State Tribunal or Chairman, Vice-Chairman of the Central Tribunal or any other State or Joint Tribunal. A member of any Tribunal shall be eligible for appointment as the

Chairman or Vice- Chairman of such Tribunal or Chairman, Vice-Chairman or other member of any other Tribunal. Other than the appointments mentioned above the Vice-Chairman or member of a Central or State Tribunal, and also the Chairman of a State Tribunal, cannot be made eligible for any other employment either under the Government of India or under the Government of a State.

JURISDICTION, POWERS AND AUTHORITY Chapter III of the Administrative Tribunal Act deals with the jurisdiction, powers and authority of the tribunals. Section 14(1) of the Act vests the Central Administrative Tribunal to exercise all the jurisdiction, powers and authority exercisable by all the courts except the Supreme Court of India under Article 136 of the Constitution. One of the main features of the Indian Constitution is judicial review. There is a hierarchy of courts for the enforcement of legal and constitutional rights. One can appeal against the decision of one court to another, like from District Court to the High Court and then finally to the Supreme Court, But there is no such hierarchy of Administrative Tribunals and regarding adjudication of service matters, one would have a remedy only before one of the Tribunals. This is in contrast to the French system of administrative courts, where there is a hierarchy of administrative courts and one can appeal from one administrative court to another. But in India, with regard to decisions of the Tribunals, one cannot appeal to an Appellate Tribunal. Though Supreme Court under Article 136, has jurisdiction over the decisions of the Tribunals, as a matter of right, no person can appeal to the Supreme Court. It is discretionary with the Supreme Court to grant or not to grant special leave to appeal. The Administrative Tribunals have the authority to issue writs. In disposing of the cases, the Tribunal observes the canons, principles and norms of 'natural justice'. The Act provides that "a Tribunal shall not be bound by the procedure laid

down in the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, but shall be guided by the principles of natural justice. The Tribunal shall have power to regulate its own procedure including the fixing of the place and times of its enquiry and deciding whether to sit in public or private". A Tribunal has the same jurisdiction, powers and authority, as those exercised by the High Court, in respect of "Contempt of itself" that is, punish for contempt, and for the purpose, the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971 have been made applicable. This helps the Tribunals in ensuring that they are taken seriously and their orders are not ignored. PROCEDURE FOR

APPLICATION TO THE TRIBUNALS Chapter IV of the Administrative Tribunals Act prescribes for application to the Tribunal. A person aggrieved by any order pertaining to any matter within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal may make an application to it for redressal of grievance. Such applications should be in the prescribed form and have to be accompanied by relevant documents and evidence and by such fee as may be prescribed by the Central Government but not exceeding one hundred rupees for filing the application. The Tribunal shall not ordinarily admit an application unless it is satisfied that the applicant has availed of all remedies available to him under the relevant service rules. This includes the making of any administrative appeal or representation. Since consideration of such appeals and representations involve delay, the applicant can make an application before the Tribunal, if a period of six months has expired after Module – 1 66 the representation was made no order has been made. But an application to the Tribunal has to be made within one year from the date of final order or rejection of the application or appeal or where no final order of rejection has been made, within one year from the date of expiry of six months period. The Tribunal. May, however admit any application even after one year, if the applicant can satisfy the Tribunal that he/she had sufficient

cause for not making the application within the normal stipulated time. Every application is decided by the Tribunal or examination of documents, written representation and at a times depending on the case, on hearing of oral arguments. The applicant may either appear in person or through a legal practitioner who will present the case before the Tribunal. The orders of the Tribunal are binding on both the parties and should be complied within the time prescribed in the order or within six months of the receipt of the order where no time limit has been indicated in the order. The parties can approach the Supreme Court against the orders of the Tribunal by way of appeal under Article 136 of the Constitution. The Administrative Tribunals are not bound by the procedure laid down in the code of Civil Procedure 1908. They are guided by the principles of natural justice. Since these principles are flexible, adjustable according to the situation, they help the Tribunals in molding their procedure keeping in view the circumstances of a situation.

ADVANTAGES OF THE TRIBUNAL:

- Appropriate and effective justice.
- Flexibility
- Speedy
- Less expensive
- Limitations of the Tribunals:
 - The tribunal consists of members and heads that may not possess any background of law.
 - Tribunals do not rely on uniform precedence and hence may lead to arbitrary and inconsistent decision.

MCQs
