## FACULTY OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES

COURSE: B.A.LL.B. II Semester

SUBJECT: HISTORY II

SUBJECT CODE: BAL-202

NAME OF FACULTY: Dr. SADHNA TRIVEDI

## Lecture-25



## Lord William Bentinck (With special focus on Appraisal of Criminal Law)

At the time of Lord Cornwallis, the provinces of Bihar, Bengal & Orissa were divided into 4 divisions.

- In each of these divisions a Circuit court was established. Besides there were 4 Provincial Courts
  of appeal at Calcutta, Murshidabad, Dhaka and Patna.
   Judiciary required reforms because of the following reasons:
- 1. The new territories acquired in last 3 decades expanded the territorial jurisdiction of the Sadar Diwani Adalat at Calcutta, but it was now too far away from them.
- 2. The Provincial Courts of appeal were thought to be worthless and a burden on the administration.
- 3. The people who were in prison had to be kept for months before a Circuit Court met at district headquarters and disposed the cases. So, the under trials suffered badly under the Police oppression.
- 4. The entire system was considered too expensive.
- 5. The language of the courts was Persian and it was not easy for the litigants to fight in this language. Following reforms were introduced by Lord William Bentinck:
- The first reform done was to abolish the Provincial Courts of Appeal and Circuit Courts altogether. This was done by a regulation passed in 1829.
- In place of the Provincial courts of appeal and Circuit, the Commissioners of Revenue and Circuit were appointed to do this job.
- For this purpose, the <u>Bengal Presidency was divided into 20 divisions</u> and each division was placed under a separate commissioner.
- For revenue cases these commissioners worked directly under the Board of Revenue and for Criminal cases they worked under Sadar Nizamat Adalat.
- Separate Diwani and Sadar Nizamat Adalat were opened at Allahabad.
- In 1831, another regulation was passed by which the "Respectable Indians" were to be appointed in the Zilla or City Courts. They were called "Munsifs". Munsifs were to be appointed on a salary and they could decide the cases worth less than Three Hundred Rupees.

- Then, in a separate regulation, it was decided the Governor General in Council would appoint
  respectable Indians to the post of *Sadar Amins*. The Sadar Amins would hear appeals from the
  Zilla and city courts.
- <u>Sadar Amin was now the highest Judicial Indian authority</u>. However, neither Munsifs nor Sadar Amins could trial the Europeans.
- In 1832, a sort of Jury was introduced in Bengal, which was **like Indian Jury (Panchayat)** that could **help** the European Judges.

## **Results:**

- 1. The abolition of the Provincial Courts of Appeal and Circuit reduced the expenditure of the company Government.
- 2. The appointment of the Commissioners introduced individual responsibility.
- 3. Police was now less oppressive as the Commissioners would dispose the cases.
- 4. The Jury system in Bengal (Panchayat) made possible the use of local knowledge and opinion.
- 5. To some extent, Indians were now to enter into the administration. It was not tangible at that time, but at least it was a ray of hope for the Indians.