

FACULTY OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES

COURSE: B.A.LL.B

Semester

SUBJECT: POLITICAL

SCIENCE - II

SUBJECT CODE: BAL,201

NAME OF FACULTY: DR.INDERJEET KAUR



Lecture-34



Assam

Main article: Human rights abuses in Assam

A Human Rights Watch report notes that journalists and human rights activists have been arrested for falsely reporting on human rights abuses. Assam continues to be one of the forefront states where the claims of human rights abuses have been committed by India. Resultant secessionist and pro-independence movements have intensified the political situation, with widespread allegations of human rights abuses being committed by Indian security forces yet without any concrete proofs for allegations. Freedom House stated in their 2013 report on India that journalists in rural areas and regions coping with insurgencies — including Assam — are vulnerable and face pressure from both sides of the conflicts.

Punjab

Main article: Human rights abuses in Punjab, India

From 1984 to 1994, the state of <u>Punjab</u> in northern India was engaged in a power struggle between the militant secessionist <u>Khalistan movement</u> and Indian security forces. The Indian government responded to the escalating <u>Punjab insurgency</u> by launching <u>Operation Blue Star</u> in 1984, storming the <u>Harmandir Sahib</u>, or Golden Temple complex in <u>Amritsar</u>—the center of Sikh religious and spiritual life, where some militant groups had retreated. The Operation was controversial and resulted in death of hundreds of civilians, militants and soldiers. After this incident, <u>Sikh</u> bodyguards assassinated Prime Minister <u>Indira Gandhi</u>, further violence ensued.

The aftermath of these events were felt for more than a decade. According to a <u>Human Rights Watch</u> report, state security forces adopted "increasingly brutal methods to stem the insurgency, including arbitrary arrests, torture, prolonged detention without trial, <u>disappearances</u> and summary killings of civilians and suspected militants". Militant organizations responded with increased violence aimed at civilians, state security forces, and Sikh political leaders deemed to be negotiating with the government.

Freedom of expression

Main article: Freedom of expression in India

According to the estimates of Reporters Without Borders, India ranks 122nd worldwide in 2010 on the press freedom index (down from 105th in 2009). The press freedom index for India is 38.75 in 2010 (29.33 for 2009) on a scale that runs from 0 (most free) to 105 (least free). In 2014 India was down ranked to 140th worldwide (score of 40.34 out of 105) but despite this remains one of the best scores in the region.

The <u>Indian Constitution</u>, while not mentioning the word "press", provides for "the right to freedom of speech and expression" (Article 19(1) a). However this right is subject to restrictions under subclause (2), whereby this freedom can be restricted for reasons of "sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of the State, friendly relations with foreign States, public order, preserving decency, preserving morality, in relation to contempt of court, <u>defamation</u>, or incitement to an offence". Laws such as the <u>Official Secrets Act</u> and <u>Prevention of Terrorism Act</u> (POTA) have been used to limit press freedom. Under POTA, person could be detained for up to six months before the police were required to bring charges on allegations for terrorism-related offenses. POTA was repealed in 2004, but was replaced by amendments to <u>UAPA</u>. The Official Secrets Act 1923 is abolished after right to information act 2005

For the first half-century of independence, media control by the state was the major constraint on press freedom. Indira
Gandhi
famously stated in 1975 that All India Radio
is "a Government organ, it is going to remain a Government organ..."
With the liberalisation starting in the 1990s, private control of media has burgeoned, leading to increasing independence and greater scrutiny of government.

Organisations like <u>Tehelka</u> and <u>NDTV</u> have been particularly influential, in bringing about the resignation of powerful <u>Haryana</u> minister <u>Venod Sharma</u>. In addition, laws like <u>Prasar Bharati</u> act passed in recent years contribute significantly to reducing the control of the press by the government.

LGBT rights

Main article: LGBT rights in India

Until the <u>Delhi High Court</u> decriminalised consensual private sexual acts between consenting adults on 2 July 2009, homosexuality was considered criminal as per interpretations of the ambiguous <u>Section 377</u> of the 150-year-old Indian Penal Code (IPC), a law passed by the colonial British authorities. However, this law was very rarely enforced. In its ruling decriminalising homosexuality, the <u>Delhi High Court</u> noted that existed law conflicted with the fundamental rights guaranteed by the <u>Constitution of India</u>, and such criminalising is violative of Articles 21, 14 and 15 of the Constitution. On 11 December 2013, homosexuality was again criminalized by a <u>Supreme Court</u> ruling.

On 6 September 2018, a five judge constitutional bench of the Supreme Court of India, in a landmark judgement, decriminalized homosexuality.